# IDNR DAM INSPECTION REPORT FORM (Refer to pages 5 and 6 for instructions.)

Name of Professional Conducting Inspection Professional License No. (Indiana)					e No. (Indiana)
Company Name				•	
Company Name					
INSPECTION PREP Yes ☐ No ☐ Comm		nent technical documenta	ation related to this d	am and site in the	State's and the Owner's files:
properly inspect this	dam and appurtenant works. Te	chnical disciplines, in addi	-		d in the technical disciplines to include geotechnical, geological,
nydrologic, structural,	, and mechanical. Yes ☐ No ☐	J Comment			
Dam Name	,		Quad.	Date of Ins	
State Dam ID	Permit (if unaproved see pg.	6) County	Sec. T. R		/ /
Owners Name				O	wner's Phone )
Address/Zip Code					,
Contact's Name			Spillway Width Ft. Top Bot.		
Hazard Dr	ainage Area MI <sup>2</sup> Surface Area AC	Height Crest Length	n Crest Width FT	Inlet Below Crest	Slope: Up Down
FIELD CONDITIONS OBSERVED  Water Level - Below Dam CrestFt.					
MONITORING ☐ Yes ☐ None ☐ Gage Rod ☐ Piezometers ☐ Seepage Weirs ☐ Survey Monuments ☐ Other]  Comments					
PROBLEMS NOTED: (A-1) None (A-2) Riprap - Missing, Sparse, Displaced, Weathered (A-3) Wave Erosion-with Scarps (A-4) Cracks-with Displacement (A-5) Sinkhole (A-6) Appears Too Steep (A-7) Depressions or Bulges (A-8) Slides (A-9) Animal Burrows (A-10) Trees, Brush, Briars (A-11) Other Comments:					
GOOD ACCEPTABLE DEFICIENT POOR	GOOD  ACCEPTABLE  DEFICIENT  DEFI				

Spillway Width refers to the open channel (typically the emergency or auxiliary spillway) at the control section.

Ft. FBD. refers to the vertical distance from the emergency (auxiliary) spillway control section to the lowest point of the crest of the dam.

Inlet Below Crest refers to the vertical distance from the inlet of the principal spillway to the crest of the dam.

C DOWNSTREAM SLOPE GOOD ACCEPTABLE DEFICIENT POOR	PROBLEMS NOTED: ☐ (C-1) None ☐ (C-2) Livestock Damage ☐ (C-3) Erosion or Gullies ☐ (C-4) Cracks with Displacement ☐ (C-5) Sinkholes ☐ (C-6) Appears too Steep ☐ (C-7) Depression or Bulges ☐ (C-8) Slide ☐ (C-9) Soft Areas ☐ (C-10) Trees, Brush, Briars ☐ (C-11) Animal Burrows ☐ (C-12)Other ☐ Comments:
D SEEPAGE GOOD (NONE) ACCEPTABLE DEFICIENT POOR	PROBLEMS NOTED: ☐ (D-1) None ☐ (D-2) Saturated Embankment Area ☐ (D-3) Seepage Exits on Embankment ☐ (D-4) Seepage Exits at Point Source ☐ (D-5) Seepage Area at Toe ☐ (D-6) Flow Adjacent to Outlet ☐ (D-7) Seepage Clear/Muddy ☐ (D-7) Seepage Clear/Muddy ☐ (D-8) Flow Clear/Muddy ☐ (D-9) Dry/Obstructed] ☐ (D-10) Other Describe location of drains and indicate amount and quality of discharge. Comments:
E PRINCIPAL SPILLWAY GOOD ACCEPTABLE DEFICIENT POOR	PROBLEMS NOTED: ☐ (E-1) None ☐ (E-2) Deterioration ☐ (E-3) Separation ☐ (E-4) Cracking ☐ (E-5) Inlet, Outlet Deficiency ☐ (E-6) Stilling Basin Inadequacies ☐ (E-7) Trash Rack ☐ (E-8) Other
F AUXILIARY SPILLWAY GOOD ACCEPTABLE DEFICIENT POOR	PROBLEMS NOTED: (F-1) None (F-2) No Auxiliary Spillway Found (F-3) Erosion-with Backcutting (F-4) Crack with Displacement (F-5) Appears to be Structurally Inadequate (F-6) Appears too Small (F-7) Inadequate Freeboard (F-8) Flow Obstructed (F-9) Concrete Deteriorated/Undermined Comments:
G MAINTENANCE AND REPAIRS GOOD ACCEPTABLE DEFICIENT POOR	PROBLEMS NOTED: G-1) None G-2) Access Road Needs Maintenance G-3) Cattle Damage G-4) Spillway Obstruction G-5) Brush, Weeds, Tall Grass, on Upstream Slope, Crest, Downstream Slope, Toe G-6) Trees on Upstream Slope, Crest, Downstream Slope, Crest, Downstream Slope, Toe G-7) Rodent Activity on Upstream Slope, Crest, Downstream Slope, Toe G-8) Deteriorated Concrete-Facing, Outlet, Spillway G-6-9) Gate and/or Drawdown Need Repair G-10) Other G-9  Comments: G-9
Based on this inspe	Ction and recent file review, the overall surficial condition is determined to be: (H-1) Satisfactory (H-2) fair y Poor (H-4) Poor (H-5) Unsatisfactory

2003 Edition Page 2 of 6

IMPORTANT: IF THIS RATING IS DIFFERENT THAN PREVIOUS IDNR RATING, PLEASE ATTACH EXPLANATION AND REASONS FOR CHANGE ON PAGE 4.

# RECOMMENDATIONS AND ITEMS REQUIRING ACTION BY OWNER TO IMPROVE THE SAFETY OF THE DAM MAINTENANCE-MINOR REPAIR-MONITORING (1) Provide Additional Erosion Protection: \_\_\_\_ ☐ (2) Mow: \_ ☐ (3) Clear Trees and/or Brush From: \_\_ ☐ (4) Initiate Rodent Control Program and Properly Backfill Existing Holes:\_\_\_ (5) Repair: \_ ☐ (6) Provide Surface Drainage For: \_\_\_\_\_ ☐ (7) Monitor: \_\_\_\_\_ ☐ (8) Other: \_\_\_\_ (9) Other: \_\_ ENGINEERING-EMPLOY AN ENGINEER EXPERIENCED IN DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION OF DAMS TO: (Plans & Specifications must be approved by State prior to construction.) ☐ (10) Prepare Plans and Specifications for the Rehabilitation of the Dam: \_\_\_\_ ☐ (11) Prepare As-Built Drawings of: \_ (12) Perform a Geotechnical Investigation to Evaluate the Stability of the Dam: ☐ (13) Perform a Hydrologic Study to Determine Required Spillway Size: \_\_\_\_\_ ☐ (14) Prepare Plans and Specifications for an Adequate Spillway: \_\_\_\_ ☐ (15) Set up a Monitoring Program: \_ ☐ (16) Refer to Unapproved Status of Dam: (17) Develop an Emergency Action Plan: ☐ (18) Other: \_\_\_ ☐ (19) Other: \_\_\_ Recommended schedule for upgrades/comments (Please prioritize and note importance of each item.) Photographs ☐ Attachments ☐ ENGINEER'S INSTRUCTION Instructed owner on the safety concerns with the structure and how to monitor and inspect the dam and appurtenant works in the interim period between the regulatory two-year inspections. Yes ☐ No ☐ Comment Professional Engineer's Signature \_\_\_\_\_

Owner/Owner's Representative

Reviewed By \_\_\_

2003 Edition Page 4 of 6

### INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING DAM VISUAL INSPECTION REPORT

- 1. Complete all items that are applicable; if not applicable, write in "N/A". For concrete dams, complete all applicable items and use "comments" section to cover items not included in the check boxes. Also indicate that the dam is concrete in the comments section.
- 2. Use page 6 to determine ratings of each dam component (items A through G) and for Overall Conditions (Item H).
- 3. Please write legibly and concisely.
- 4. Inspector must be knowledgeable with the type of dam, materials, and components being inspected. If not, qualified assistance shall be engaged.
- 5. The inspector shall review the dam owner's and IDNR project files prior to the inspection. Previous inspection reports shall be closely reviewed for previous problems and deficiencies.
- 6. If the ratings of the components (items A through G) or the Overall Conditions (item H) of the dam have changed since the last inspection, please complete page 4. If a rating has improved, dam repairs, improvements, analyses, or maintenance must have been performed and documented on page 4.
- 7. For a dam to have a satisfactory "Overall Conditions" rating, it must have no existing or potential dam safety deficiencies recognized. Safe performance is expected under all anticipated loading conditions, including infrequent hydrologic events (PMP for high hazard dams) and seismic events. The dam owner's project files must contain hydrologic and hydraulic analyses of the dam and its spillways to verify performance. The files must also contain slope stability analyses to verify embankment stability under full reservoir conditions and rapid-draw down conditions. The dam and all of its components must meet current IDNR and design standards. "Normal" deficiencies such as minor erosion, minor seepage, or normal concrete aging may not make a dam unsatisfactory or unacceptable. For a satisfactory "Overall Conditions" rating to be assigned, items A through G generally should all have a "good" rating; however, in some cases an "acceptable" rating may be satisfactory if the "Problems Noted" are minor, or "normal" conditions, such as minor erosion rills, small puddles on crest, or if grass needs mowed, but is in good condition.
- 8. This inspection report form must be submitted to IDNR along with a formal technical inspection report as described in Chapter 4.0 of Part 3 of the Indiana Dam Safety Inspection Manual.

9. F	Please sign ar	d date this page	in the space	below to verify	√that vou	have read a	and und	erstand t	these instruc	ctions
------	----------------	------------------	--------------	-----------------	-----------	-------------	---------	-----------	---------------	--------

Inspector's Signature:	 Date:

2003 Edition Page 5 of 6

#### **GUIDELINES FOR DETERMINING CONDITIONS**

#### CONDITIONS OBSERVED - APPLIES TO UPSTREAM SLOPE, CREST, DOWNSTREAM SLOPE, PRINCIPAL SPILLWAY, AUXILIARY SPILLWAY

GOOD

ACCEPTABLE

DEFICIENT

POOR

In general, this part of the structure has a good appearance, and conditions observed in this area do not appear to threaten the safety of the dam.

Although general cross-section is maintained, surfaces may be irregular, eroded, rutted, spalled, or otherwise not in new condition. Conditions in this area do not currently appear to threaten the safety of the dam.

Continued deterioration and/or unusual loading may threaten the safety of the dam

Conditions observed in this area appear to threaten the safety of the dam. Conditions observed in this area are unacceptable.

#### **CONDITIONS OBSERVED - APPLIES TO SEEPAGE**

GOOD (NONE)

No evidence of uncontrolled seepage. No unexplained increase in flows from designed drains. All seepage is clear. Seepage conditions do not appear to threaten the safety of the dam.

ACCEPTABLE

Some seepage exists at areas other than the drain outfalls, or other designed drains. No unexplained increase in flows from designed drains. All seepage is clear. Seepage conditions observed do not currently appear to threaten the safety of the

DEFICIENT

Excessive seepage exists at areas other than drain outfalls and other designed drains. Seepage needs to be evaluated. Increased flow and/or continued deterioration in seepage conditions may threaten the safety of the dam.

**POOR** 

Excessive seepage conditions observed appear to threaten the safety of the dam and is unacceptable. Examples: 1) Designed drain or seepage flows have increased without increase in reservoir level.

2) Drain or seepage flows contain sediment. i.e., muddy water or particles in jar samples.

3) Widespread seepage, concentrated seepage or ponding appears to threaten the safety of the dam.

#### **CONDITIONS OBSERVED - APPLIES TO MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR**

GOOD

Dam appears to receive effective on-going maintenance and repair, and only a few minor items may need to be addressed.

**ACCEPTABLE** 

Dam appears to receive maintenance, but some maintenance items need to be addressed. No major repairs are required.

**DEFICIENT** 

Level of maintenance of the dam needs significant improvement. Major repairs may be required. Continued neglect of maintenance may threaten the safety of the dam.

**POOR** 

Dam does not receive adequate maintenance. One or more items needing maintenance or repair has begun to threaten the safety of the dam. Level of maintenance is unacceptable.

#### **OVERALL CONDITIONS**

SATISFACTORY - No existing or potential dam safety deficiencies recognized. Safe performance is expected under all anticipated loading conditions, including such events as infrequent hydrologic and/or seismic events. Project Files contain necessary hydrologic, and other engineering calculations to verify dam safety and performance.

FAIR - No existing dam safety deficiencies are recognized for normal loading conditions. Infrequent hydrologic and/or

seismic events would probably result in a dam safety deficiency.

CONDITIONALLY POOR - A potential safety deficiency is recognized for unusual loading conditions which may realistically occur during the expected life of the structure. CONDITIONALLY POOR may also be used when uncertainties exist as to critical analysis parameters which identify a potential dam safety deficiency; further investigations and studies are necessary.

POOR - A potential dam safety deficiency is clearly recognized for normal loading conditions. Immediate actions to resolve the deficiency are recommended; reservoir restrictions may be necessary until problem resolution.

UNSATISFACTORY - A dam safety deficiency exists for normal conditions. Immediate remedial action is required for problem resolution.

# HAZARD CLASSIFICATIONS OF DAMS (STRUCTURE)

LOW HAZARD- A structure the failure of which may damage farm buildings, agricultural land, or local roads

SIGNIFICANT HAZARD- A structure the failure of which may damage isolated homes and highways, or cause the temporary interruption of public utility services.

HIGH HAZARD-A structure the failure of which may cause the loss of life and serious damage to homes, industrial and commercial buildings, public utilities, major highways, or railroads.

# **UNAPPROVED STATUS OF DAM**

A dam that has been given an unapproved status (see entry for permit) means that plans, construction specifications, hydraulic analyses, and/or a geotechnical investigation on your dam, proving the safety of the structure, have not been received and approved by the Indiana Department of Natural Resources (IDNR). IDNR records indicate that no progress has been made to secure this approval. The fact that the dam is inspected under the Regulation of Dams Act (IC 14-27-7.5) in no way alters the illegal status of the structures.

If your dam is indicated to be unapproved, it is requested that your engineer contact the Indiana Department of Natural Resources,

2003 Edition Page 6 of 6